

Eunethydis: a statement of the ethical principles governing the relationship between the European group for ADHD guidelines, and its members, with commercial for-profit organisations

Joseph A. Sergeant · Tobias Banaschewski · Jan Buitelar · David Coghill ·
Marina Danckaerts · Manfred Döpfner · A. Rothenberger · Paramala Santosh ·
E. J. S. Sonuga-Barke · Hans-Christoph Steinhausen · Eric Taylor · A. Zuddas

Received: 18 November 2009 / Accepted: 19 May 2010 / Published online: 12 June 2010
© Springer-Verlag 2010

Abstract The Eunethydis ADHD Guidelines group set out here the ethical principles governing the relationship between the group and industry. The principles set out here are provided to ensure that this is both done and seen to be done. The impetus for these guidelines comes from within the *Group* and is linked to the recognition for the need for an open and transparent basis for *Group*-industry relations, especially in the light of the present concern that the pharmaceutical industry may be exerting a growing

influence on the actions of researchers and clinicians in the ADHD field.

Keywords ADHD · Guidelines · Ethics

Preamble The members of the Eunethydis ADHD Guidelines Group (referred to as *Group* below) are clinicians and researchers dedicated to the understanding of ADHD/

J. A. Sergeant (✉)
Clinical Neuropsychology, Vrije Universiteit,
Amsterdam, The Netherlands
e-mail: JA.Sergeant@psy.vu.nl

T. Banaschewski
Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
and Psychotherapy, Central Institute of Mental Health,
Mannheim, Germany
e-mail: tobias.banaschewski@zi-mannheim.de

J. Buitelar
Donders Centrum, Rijksuniversiteit Nijmegen,
Nijmegen, The Netherlands

D. Coghill
Centre for Neuroscience, Division of Medical Sciences,
Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, University of Dundee,
Dundee DD1 9SR, UK

M. Danckaerts
Kliniekhoofd Kinder- en Jeugdpsychiatrie,
Clusterverantwoordelijke, UZ Leuven, Campus Gasthuisberg,
Herestraat 49, 3000 Leuven, Belgium

M. Döpfner
Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy of Childhood
and Adolescence, University Cologne, Robert Koch Str. 10,
50931 Cologne, Germany
e-mail: manfred.doepfner@t-online.de

A. Rothenberger
Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University of Goettingen,
von-Siebold-Str. 5, 37075 Göttingen, Germany
e-mail: arothen@gwdg.de

P. Santosh
Centre for Interventional Paediatric Psychopharmacology,
Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, Level 4,
Frontage Building, London, UK
e-mail: santop@gosh.nhs.uk

E. J. S. Sonuga-Barke
School of Psychology, University of Southampton,
Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK
e-mail: ejb3@soton.ac.uk

E. J. S. Sonuga-Barke
Department of Experimental Clinical & Health Psychology,
Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

H.-C. Steinhausen
Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Aalborg Psychiatric Hospital,
Aarhus University Hospital, MÅllleparkvej.10,
9000 Aalborg, Denmark
e-mail: hces@rn.dk
URL: www.psykiatri.rn.dk

Hyperkinetic Disorder and its effective treatment. Their role has been to prepare guidelines for the treatment of ADHD—three such guidelines have so far been published [1–3]. This clearly raises potential conflicts of interest with the commercial companies who develop and market treatments for ADHD.

This document sets out the ethical principles governing the relations between the *Group*, and its members, and industry. This is important because many members of the *Group* have at one time or another entered into financial relations with pharmaceutical companies for a range of different activities including consultancy, advice, presentations to meetings, as well as funding for trials and other studies. The goal of these collaborations varies across activities but the common element for the *Group* is that they are motivated by a desire to improve the understanding of ADHD and its treatment and to disseminate best practice in both research and therapy.

We are confident that these relations are conducted with both the highest ethical standards. The principles set out here are provided to ensure that this is both done and seen to be done. The impetus for these guidelines comes from within the *Group* and is linked to the recognition for the need for an open and transparent basis for *Group*–industry relations, especially in the light of the present concern that the pharmaceutical industry may be exerting a growing influence on the actions of researchers and clinicians in the ADHD field.

Transparency and register of potential conflicts of interest The notion of transparency will be the core principle upon which this statement is built. A fully comprehensive register of any potential competing interests that arise out of work with commercial for-profit companies will be established and maintained by the chair of the *Group*. The register will be on a freely available website, updated twice yearly. This will include a short detailed description of the activity involved as well as its time-frame. Examples of relevant activities include; advisory board membership, consultancy, presentations at company-funded meetings, travel bursaries and conference attendance support. Both investigator initiated trials and pivotal phase 3 and phase 4 marketing trials should be declared.

Activities should be declared whether funds are paid directly to the individual or indirectly, ie to an account within a university or other organisation. In time, these

principles and procedures may be extended to not-for-profit organisations. At present, it is not envisaged that these declarations will include reference to the scale of financial involvement; but this will remain under review. Where confidentiality is required in these matters, the register of competing interests should acknowledge the interaction in a general sense and note the company and product involved.

Independence of group decision making Members with interests will declare them whenever relevant products are discussed; at chair's discretion they can then discuss informally, but not vote. Members who have conducted trials on a particular product will be allowed to present data to the *Group* on those trials during the review process. However, these data will be evaluated by members of the *Group* that are independent of these involvements. In case of conflicting opinions about the merits of a study and the meaning of the results, members involved with a specific project will be excluded from making the final decision. If the situation arises (and it has not to date), when all members of the group are involved in a trial, then the chair will approach appropriately qualified experts to give their evaluation of the trial data. The value of independent statistical review of procedures and findings will be explored.

Protection of group members' interests In order to protect the interests of members of the group the following procedures will be established.

1. A register of attempts at undue influence by companies on the opinions and activities of *Group* members will be established and occasions of such will be placed on public record.
2. An informal system of peer review will be established to ensure that documents written or talks prepared for companies and funded by them conform to these ethical guidelines.
3. A record will be made of the outcome of this review.
4. Before entering into financial arrangements with industrial interests *Group* members should make a disclosure that their conduct is regulated by the principles set out in this document.

Ethical conduct of group members In order to ensure that for-profit-related activities are conducted within an ethical framework the following principles are set down.

1. Company-funded drug trials should be undertaken by *Group* members only when there is an agreement that they have sufficient access to analyses of the data and that they can be confident in the integrity of the data and analyses and there is no in principle veto on publication of data.
2. Involvement of *Group* member in ghost written papers prepared by professional medical writers for

E. Taylor
King's College London Institute of Psychiatry,
De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF, UK
e-mail: e.taylor@iop.kcl.ac.uk

A. Zuddas
Child Neuropsychiatry, Department of Neuroscience,
University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy

companies is prohibited. Furthermore members performing trials for companies are expected to be independent in their interpretation, able to review all material before it is published, and responsible for the whole of a paper of which they are an author. They should avoid inducements that could lead to bias in reporting.

3. When presenting data on company-funded trials the *Group* member must retain full editorial control and ensure that they operate in a completely unbiased and scientifically balanced way.
4. In relation to data reviewed by the *Group* for published guidelines, to ensure consistency and to protect their interests, a spirit of collective responsibility will be adopted in which *Group* members present the agreed guidelines position. However, this position needs to be reconciled with the need to maintain the individual

academic freedom of *Group* members and to respond to developments in the field. Where these amendments to the published guideline position are made they will be reported to the *Group*.

References

1. Taylor E et al (1998) Clinical guidelines for hyperkinetic disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 7:184–200
2. Taylor E et al (2004) European clinical guidelines for hyperkinetic disorder—first upgrade. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 13(Suppl 1): I7–I30
3. Banaschewski T et al (2006) Long-acting medications for the hyperkinetic disorders—a systematic review and European treatment guidelines. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 15:476–495